**Vote No. 539** 

October 27, 1995, 5:33 p.m. Page S-16020 Temp. Record

## **BALANCED BUDGET RECONCILIATION/Medicaid Abortion Funding**

SUBJECT: Balanced Budget Reconciliation Act of 1995 . . . S. 1357. Nickles motion to waive the Budget Act for the consideration of subsection 7191(a).

## **ACTION: MOTION REJECTED, 55-44**

SYNOPSIS: As reported, S. 1357, the Balanced Budget Reconciliation Act of 1995, will result in a balanced budget in seven years, as scored by the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). The bill will also provide a \$245 billion middle-class tax cut, \$141.4 billion of which will be to provide a \$500 per child tax credit.

After debate time had expired, Senator Chafee made the point of order that section 7191(a) violated section 313(b)(1)(a) (the Byrd Rule) of the Budget Act because it did not produce a change in outlays or revenues and was not necessary to implement a provision that changed revenues or outlays. Section 7191(a) made permanent the prohibition that has been included in annual appropriations bills since 1977 that bars taxpayer funding of abortions for welfare recipients except in cases of rape or incest or to save the life of the mother (this language is commonly referred to as the Hyde amendment language, named after its sponsor, Representative Hyde). No debate occurred on the motion, though one statement in its support was inserted into the record. Senator Nickles then moved to waive section 313(b)(1)(a) of the Budget Act for the consideration of this provision, if included in the conference report on this measure. Generally, those favoring the provision favored the motion to waive; those opposing the provision opposed the motion to waive.

NOTE: A three-fifths majority (60) vote of the Senate is required to waive section 313(b)(1)(a). Following the failure of the motion to waive, the Chair ruled that the language as included in the bill violated the Byrd rule, so the point of order was sustained and the language was stricken. Subsequent to the vote, the Senate voted to instruct conferees to support the inclusion of Hyde amendment language in the conference report (see vote No. 542; for more extensive debate on Federal funding of abortion, see vote Nos. 369-371 and 103d Congress, 1st session, vote No. 290).

## No arguments were expressed in favor of the motion to waive.

(See other side)

YEAS (55)			NAYS (44)			NOT VOTING (0)	
Republicans Democrats (46 or 87%) (9 or 20%)		Republicans	Democrats		Republicans	Democrats	
		(9 or 20%)	(7 or 13%)	(37 or 80%)		(0)	(0)
Abraham Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brown Burns Coats Cochran Coverdell Craig D'Amato DeWine Dole Domenici Faircloth Frist Gorton Gramm Gramm Grams Grassley Gregg Hatch Hatfield	Helms Hutchison Inhofe Kassebaum Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack McCain McConnell Murkowski Nickles Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Simpson Smith Thomas Thompson Thurmond Warner	Biden Breaux Conrad Dorgan Exon Ford Heflin Johnston Reid	Campbell Chafee Cohen Jeffords Snowe Specter Stevens	Akaka Baucus Bingaman Boxer Bradley Bryan Bumpers Byrd Daschle Dodd Feingold Feinstein Glenn Graham Harkin Hollings Inouye Kennedy	Kerrey Kerry Kohl Lautenberg Leahy Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moseley-Braun Moynihan Murray Nunn Pell Pryor Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Simon Wellstone	EXPLANAT 1—Official I 2—Necessar 3—Illness 4—Other  SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 539 OCTOBER 27, 1995

**Those opposing** the motion to waive contended:

Section 7191(a) would codify the Hyde amendment language. That language is a discriminatory assault on the right of poor women to choose. This ban is shortsighted, careless, and insulting to women. If this amendment passes, poor women will seek unsanitary and dangerous back-alley abortions. They should not have to seek cheap abortions—the Federal Government should have to pay. We therefore oppose the motion to waive.